Rambler, Alexis

From: Butler, David

Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 1:24 PM

To: Hall, Roger; chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Grube-Lybarker, Carri; Bateman,

Andrew; Hamm, Steven; Huber, Christopher; Knowles, Alex; Mitch Willoughby; Belton T. Zeigler; manzelmo@mcguirewoods.com; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; fknapp@knappagency.com; emily.w.medlyn.civ@mail.mil; alex@shissiaslawfirm.com;

bquild@mindspring.com; dori.jaffe@sierraclub.org; adam@scjustice.org;

bguild@mindspring.com; dori.jaffe@sierraclub.org; adam@scjustice.org; seaton@spilmanlaw.com; dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com; Scott Elliott;

john@johncoffman.net; kmixson@selcsc.org; Parker, Connor; dneal@selcnc.org;

tgreen@willoughbyhoefer.com; Edwards, Nanette

Cc:Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne; Besley, Sharon; Wheat, Jo; DeSanty, Tricia; PSC_ContactSubject:RE: Hearings in DN 2020-125-E - Dominion Rate Case -IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Roger:

Yes, we need the notarization, but a Monday afternoon notarization would be fine. We don't necessarily have to have the document at the exact moment the testimony is put into the record, but do like to get it as soon thereafter as possible. I think you would be ok with the Monday afternoon notarization.

Thanks, David Butler

From: Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>

Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 1:17 PM

To: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Bateman, Andrew <abateman@ors.sc.gov>; Hamm, Steven <shamm@ors.sc.gov>; Huber, Christopher <chuber@ors.sc.gov>; Knowles, Alex <aknowles@ors.sc.gov>; Mitch Willoughby <mwilloughby@willoughbyhoefer.com>; Belton T. Zeigler <belton.zeigler@wbd-us.com>; manzelmo@mcguirewoods.com; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; fknapp@knappagency.com; emily.w.medlyn.civ@mail.mil; alex@shissiaslawfirm.com; bguild@mindspring.com; dori.jaffe@sierraclub.org; adam@scjustice.org; seaton@spilmanlaw.com; dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com; Scott Elliott <selliott@elliottlaw.us>; john@johncoffman.net; kmixson@selcsc.org; Parker, Connor <cjparker@scconsumer.gov>; dneal@selcnc.org; tgreen@willoughbyhoefer.com; Edwards, Nanette <nedwards@ors.sc.gov>

Cc: Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov>; Besley, Sharon <sharon.besley@psc.sc.gov>; Wheat, Jo <Jo.Wheat@psc.sc.gov>; DeSanty, Tricia <Tricia.DeSanty@psc.sc.gov>; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>

Subject: RE: Hearings in DN 2020-125-E - Dominion Rate Case -IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Thank you David.

Does the Commission require the verification be notarized?

It appears that is the common practice, but I wasn't certain based on the regulations. I ask because one of our witnesses is on vacation and may not be able to have the verification notarized until Monday afternoon.

Thank you, Roger

Roger Hall, Esq. | *Deputy Consumer Advocate*SC Department of Consumer Affairs
293 Greystone Boulevard, Suite 400

From: Butler, David < <u>David.Butler@psc.sc.gov</u>>

Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 11:47 AM

To: chad.burgess@dominionenergy.com; Grube-Lybarker, Carri clybarker@scconsumer.gov; Bateman, Andrew abateman@ors.sc.gov; Huber, Christopher chuber@ors.sc.gov; Knowles, Alex chuber@ors.sc.gov; Mitch Willoughby mwilloughbyhoefer.com; Belton T. Zeigler cbelton.zeigler@wbd-us.com; manzelmo@mcguirewoods.com; matthew.gissendanner@dominionenergy.com; fknapp@knappagency.com; emily.w.medlyn.civ@mail.mil; alex@shissiaslawfirm.com; bguild@mindspring.com; dori.jaffe@sierraclub.org; Hall, Roger khall@scconsumer.gov; adam@scjustice.org; seaton@spilmanlaw.com; dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com; Scott Elliott selliott@elliottlaw.us; john@johncoffman.net; kmixson@selcsc.org; Parker, Connor cjparker@scconsumer.gov; dneal@selcnc.org; tgreen@willoughbyhoefer.com; Edwards, Nanette <nedwards@ors.sc.gov>

Cc: Wessinger-Hill, JoAnne <<u>JoAnne.Hill@psc.sc.gov</u>>; Besley, Sharon <<u>sharon.besley@psc.sc.gov</u>>; Wheat, Jo <<u>Jo.Wheat@psc.sc.gov</u>>; DeSanty, Tricia <<u>Tricia.DeSanty@psc.sc.gov</u>>; PSC_Contact <<u>Contact@psc.sc.gov</u>> **Subject:** Hearings in DN 2020-125-E - Dominion Rate Case -IMPORTANT INFORMATION

To the Parties:

I wanted to let the parties know of a change in plans for the hearing coming up next week in the Dominion Rate Case. The Commission will proceed as previously scheduled with the Settlement portion of the case and the Settlement witnesses as listed, starting at 9 am on Monday, July 12. However, after the Settlement hearing, the Commission has decided not to have the parties present the remaining pre-filed testimony of those witnesses who were not heard in January, with one exception: during settlement testimony, the Commissioners will be asking questions of the various settlement witnesses. If a settlement witness does not know the answer to a Commissioner's question, and believes that someone on their team may know the answer to the question, that settlement witness can designate such a witness, and that witness may be on the list of those witnesses who did not present their pre-filed testimony in January. In that case, someone who was not able to testify in January may end up testifying.

Stated another way: If settlement witness x is asked a question, but states that witness Y is better suited to answer that question, the Commission would call witness Y after settlement witnesses complete their testimony.

At the end of the settlement testimony, and any testimony from a witness answering a Commissioner question, the parties will be asked, in the order in the original Cast of Characters, to stipulate into the record the testimony and exhibits of your individual witnesses who have not been presented to the Commission. The witnesses do not need to be present or available virtually. (The testimony of each individual witness would still be read into the record as if given orally from the stand, and the exhibits of each witness would be marked for identification and entered into the record as the next hearing exhibits.) We will need a VERIFICATION for each piece of testimony that is stipulated into the record. If you are a part of the live hearing, you can bring it when you come. If you are appearing virtually, we will need it as soon as possible.

Also, we will need to discuss a proposed order date. I do not have an "availability of transcript" date, but will send that to you when I get it. Of course, the final order is due to be issued on August 16, 2021.

I trust that this information has been helpful to the parties. If there are any questions, please let me know, and send copies to all parties.

Thanks,
David Butler
Chief Hearing Officer